

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Butte)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

LYNELL NICOLE ANDERSON,

Defendant and Appellant.

C062507

(Super. Ct. No. CM026399)

In March 2007, defendant Lynell Nicole Anderson was charged with unlawful possession of OxyContin and Clonazepam (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 11350, subd. (a) & 11375, subd. (b)(2)). Defendant pled guilty to both charges in May 2007, but was granted deferred entry of judgment and diversion pursuant to Penal Code section 1000.¹ Defendant then failed to appear for a

¹ Undesignated references are to the Penal Code.

review hearing, diversion was revoked, and a warrant for her arrest was issued.

Defendant was subsequently arrested on the warrant. She appeared before the court and was granted Proposition 36 probation with numerous conditions. Defendant also was ordered to pay a \$200 restitution fine (§ 1202.4), another \$200 restitution fine stayed pending successful completion of probation (§ 1202.44), a \$360 crime lab fee with assessments (Health & Saf. Code, § 11372.5), a Proposition 36 drug program fee of \$250 (§ 1210.1), a Proposition 36 drug testing fee of \$380 (§ 1203.1), \$40 court security fee (§ 1465.8), and a \$60 criminal conviction assessment (Govt. Code, § 70373). Defendant appeals.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

SIMS, J.

We concur:

SCOTLAND, P. J.

ROBIE, J.